ownership be nurtured; however, Indiana received a “C” and “D” in a recent Kauffman Thumbtack Small Business Survey in the categories of promoting training and networking programs, respectively. The Indianapolis region (the survey did not hone in on smaller cities) was ranked “C” and “F” in those two areas. Replicating the study, Grant County—our neighbor to the north—found that only 18.3 percent of area business owners identified the economy as the biggest challenge to starting a business, while 30 percent said it was support. This is an area where Anderson could shine and differentiate itself from its neighbors, but it would take a coordinated effort on the part of all the parties that business owners must deal with.

Education: A properly educated workforce is necessary to attract high value-added jobs. There is much work to do in this area, but it is encouraging to see the work being done by the Madison County Education Coalition. With a strategic goal of developing a stronger linkage between economic development and education initiatives, this organization has accomplished much in a short period of time. One solution to the brain drain that others in the area (particularly the Grant County Economic Growth Council) are using is “forgivable loans” that reduce the amount of money graduates must pay back if they stay within the area.

Housing: It cannot be disputed that we have bottomed out in construction—the number of permits being issued in Madison County has stabilized but is still low compared to pre-recession numbers. The bad news is that the lack of new construction does not mean that the number of existing homes could not still represent a surplus—a condition leading to declining values—if the number of homes desired in the county lessens.

The official numbers show some small improvements over the economic situation in Anderson last year in some areas. In the coming year, we expect employment growth to be flat and small gains in income (in the 2 percent range) as the economy continues its slow recovery.

Notes
1. Inflation rate for the Midwest region using the CPI for all urban consumers.
2. Forecast from the Center for Econometric Model Research, Indiana University, Bloomington, August 2012.

Not all good news is good. A reader of The Atlantic Cities in early September would have learned that Bloomington, Indiana, from 2010 to 2011, was the second-best metro for a pay raise in the whole country. Wow! Bloomington is doing well! What a great place to live!

But the correct response to this revelation would be, “Huh? Say what?” The report was too good to be true. The claim was supported by a dubious use of data. Bloomington’s average increase in wages was not in fact 7 percent in one year, but a more modest 2.7 percent. That’s a rather average increase, but average can feel pretty good when many other localities have been hit harder. The Bloomington Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) has emerged from the Great Recession in reasonably good shape, and the forecast for the Bloomington area is reasonably good too.

The Bloomington area sustained considerable population growth over the past decade. As a whole, the MSA’s population grew by around 10 percent, roughly equivalent to the national average. As Figure 1 shows, this growth occurred entirely within Monroe County. In contrast, the combined population of Greene and Owen counties has remained relatively constant, with a slight decrease over the last decade. This trend is projected to continue. Data from Moody’s Economy.com suggest that growth in Monroe County...
will push the Bloomington MSA population to 200,000 by 2014.

The Bloomington MSA did not suffer the setback in economic growth that the state of Indiana did over the last half decade. However, this also means that the recovery has not been quite as rapid. In fact, Bloomington’s gross domestic product (GDP) only dropped in one year, 2007, by a paltry 0.6 percent. In contrast, Indiana’s GDP dropped 2.5 percent in 2008 and 7.6 percent in 2009 (see Figure 2). As a result of this, the rebound in economic growth has been sharper for Indiana than for Bloomington. Indiana’s economy grew by 5.7 percent and 1.1 percent in 2010 and 2011, respectively. In the same years, the Bloomington MSA’s economy grew by only 0.5 percent and 0.1 percent, respectively. Projections have the state and the MSA tracking a little more closely in the coming years though: Growth is expected to be fairly similar for 2012 and 2013, albeit with Indiana still growing at a faster rate.

Employment is a somewhat different story. While the Bloomington MSA’s employment did not see the steep drop in 2009 that Indiana did, its employment has steadily declined since the financial crisis—even as employment has been steadily recovering across the state (see Figure 3). As a result, Indiana is expected to have recovered all the jobs lost in the last recession by 2014. In contrast, Bloomington is still expected to be well off its pre-recession highs at that time.

If we disassemble the Bloomington MSA’s aggregate employment into industry sectors, we can begin to understand the reasons for the slow employment recovery. As might be expected given the importance...
of Indiana University to the area, employment in the education and health services sector has continued on an upward trajectory almost unabated. Construction employment, on the other hand, has suffered significantly since the housing bubble burst (see Figure 4). One ray of hope is that the bleeding in this sector seems to have finally stopped. Projections for employment in construction are basically flat for 2012 and 2013.

One surprising area of job loss is the professional and business services sector. This sector had experienced remarkable growth prior to the recession, but has since dropped to 2001 employment levels.

The gap between Bloomington’s per capita personal income (PCPI) and Indiana’s has remained relatively constant over the last decade (see Figure 5). There was a temporary narrowing in 2009 as the broader economy constricted and the Bloomington economy was relatively insulated from the economic storm. Since then, the gap has widened back to traditional levels and forecasts do not suggest any major changes in the coming years. At $31,079 and $35,550, respectively, it is disquieting to note that the Bloomington PCPI and the Indiana PCPI remain well below the U.S. PCPI, which stood at more
than $41,000 in 2011. As is typical with college towns, Bloomington’s PCPI is diluted by its large student population.

The condition of local residential construction is also disquieting, especially if compared to the years early in the century, as shown in Figure 6. The number of permits in 2009 to 2011 was less than 25 percent of the level in 2002 to 2004. Market conditions seem to have hit bottom, however. Based on Census Bureau data, the year-to-date total for August 2012 (at the time of this writing) topped 300 units. As the regional economy goes from stabilizing to growing, the residential construction market will benefit.

That growth may be fueled by the increasing connectivity of Bloomington to south-central Indiana by the completion of Interstate 69. As the transportation linkages grow, Crane NSWC will increase in its importance for Bloomington and the regional economy. Crane employs about 4,000 people. A large portion of those employees live in Bloomington and may increase as the technology park at Crane’s Westgate expands. In Daviess County alone, employment in professional, scientific and technical services jumped from just over 150 to 700 in five years. One might expect that Westgate-based workers seeking entertainment and cultural amenities would put down roots in Bloomington and its environs, adding to its growth and economic vitality.

Notes