# Hamilton and Other Suburban Counties Lead the State in Population Growth 

Joan P. Rainey

Research Director, Indiana Business Research Center, Kelley School of Business, Indiana University

Most Indiana counties continue to experience population growth, according to population estimates released by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in March 2000. The estimates for July 1, 1999 indicate that 47 of 92 Hoosier counties have grown faster than the state average of 7.2 percent since the most recent census in 1990. Thirty counties have grown in population since 1990, but more slowly than the state as a whole. Seven Hoosier counties have seen little net population change since the census (less than $1 \%$ ), and 8 counties experienced population decline of 1 percent or more between 1990 and 1999 (see Figure 1).

Figure 1
Indiana Net Population Change, 1990-1999


## The Fastest Growing Counties

The fastest growing Hoosier county continues to be Hamilton, home of rapidly growing Fishers, Noblesville and Carmel (see Figure 2). Hamilton County has added more than 63,000 persons since the 1990 census, for a growth rate of 58 percent between 1990 and 1999. Hamilton County has led the state in population growth rates for each year in the decade, with annual growth rates of about 5 percent each year.

Hamilton has been the fastest growing county in the region consisting of Indiana and its neighboring states of Illinois, Michigan, Ohio and Kentucky and the $27^{\text {th }}$ fastest growing county in the nation. The fastest growing counties in the nation since the 1990 census are in Colorado and Georgia. Other Hoosier counties experiencing high rates of growth include Hendricks (30.5\%), Johnson (27.9\%), Dearborn (23.6\%), and Hancock (22.2\%). These high growth rates are consistent with growth patterns experienced by the nation as a whole, where much of the rapid population growth is occurring in suburban areas. Indiana counties with growth rates exceeding 15 percent are Morgan, Owen, Washington, Jennings, Jasper, Harrison, Boone, Warrick, Switzerland, Steuben and Lagrange. Hamilton County also led the state in population growth in the most recent year from 1998 to 1999, with a growth rate of 5.7 percent, compared with 0.6 percent for the state. The increase of 9,300 persons between 1998 and 1999 represented Hamilton County's largest annual increase in population. Other counties experiencing growth of 2 percent or more between 1998 and 1999 are Hendricks, Johnson, Morgan, Boone, Harrison and Hancock.

Domestic and International Migration
Domestic migration is driving this population growth, with the fastest growing Hoosier counties experiencing large amounts of positive net domestic migration (more people from other states and counties moving in than moving out). Figure 3 shows the amount of population growth due to net migration and natural increase (more births than deaths) for the state's ten fastest growing counties. Migration accounts for the larger part of population change for each of these counties.

International migration to Indiana has steadily increased since 1990, with the largest number of international in-migrants moving to Hoosier counties with the largest populations: Marion, Lake and Allen (see Figure 4).

Figure 2
Hamilton County Annual
Population Estimates, 1990-1999
"Hamilton is the $27^{\text {th }}$ fastest growing county in the nation..."

Figure 3
Components of Population
Growth,
1990-1999
Ten Fastest Growing
Hoosier Counties
"Domestic migration is driving growth in these counties..."



Figure 4
Net International Migration Leaders, 1990-1999
"International migration has steadily grown in Indiana since 1990..."


Most and Least Populous Counties in the State The ten largest Hoosier counties are Marion, Lake, Allen, St. Joseph, Elkhart, Hamilton, Vanderburgh, Porter, Tippecanoe and Madison (see Table 1 and Figure 5). Hamilton passed Vanderburgh in the most recent year to become the $6^{\text {th }}$ most populous county in the state. The smallest Indiana counties are Ohio, Union, Warren, Switzerland and Benton, each with population under 10,000 .

## Marion County

Marion population has seen an overall increase of 13,800 persons since 1990, but has experienced population decline of 4,800 persons since 1996. Earlier in the decade, Marion County's natural increase (more births than deaths) exceeded its out-migration, resulting in population growth. However, since 1996, the county's out-migration has exceeded its natural increase, resulting in population loss. The county has experienced growing domestic out-migration during
the decade, with increasing numbers of people moving to other states, but international migration from other countries to Marion County has increased steadily throughout the decade.

## Population Decline

Miami County has lost population since the 1990 census, due to the restructuring of Grissom Air Force Base in the early 1990s. Miami County's population is down by 8.9 percent since the census, but the county has seen population increases in each of the most recent four years, with population growth of over 1,200 persons since 1995.

Other counties experiencing population decline since 1990 are Delaware, down by 4,200 persons or 3.5 percent; Grant, down by 2,100 persons or 2.8 percent; Vigo, down by 1,800 persons or 1.7 percent and Knox, down by 800 persons or 2.1 percent. Counties showing smaller rates of decline include Wabash, Wayne and Blackford.
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Figure 5
Ten Most Populous Indiana Counties in 1999


Figure 6
Population Estimates for Indiana Counties (Percent Change 1990-1999)


Figure 7
What If-Scenario for "No Migration" Population Estimates (Indiana Counties Percent Change 1990-1999)


What if?
Figure 6 is a histogram showing the number of counties that experienced population growth rates from 1990 to 1999 in various ranges. The chart shows somewhat of a concentration of counties experiencing growth rates between 0 and 10 percent (53 counties). Twenty-nine counties saw growth exceeding 10 percent and 10 counties experienced population decline.

What if no one had moved into or out of Indiana counties from 1990 to 1999? To illustrate population change due only to natural increase (births minus deaths), we applied average annual birth and death rates to 1990 population counts and produced a fictitious set of "no migration" population estimates for 1999 . Figure 7 shows the resulting distribution of counties in the same growth rate ranges as above.

In Figure 7, the concentration of counties experiencing growth rates between 0 and 10 percent is much more pronounced (88 of 92 counties). In this scenario, only 2 counties saw growth exceeding 10 percent and only 2 counties experienced population decline. Without net migration, the variability of population growth rates is relatively small.

Natural increase accounted for almost threefourths of the population change experienced by the state between 1990 and 1999, with only the remaining one-fourth due to net migration. However, even though the volume of net migration may be small compared with natural increase, population estimates are strongly affected by the estimated net migration component.

## Background on production...

It is important to note that these population figures are estimates produced using a demographic model and are not the result of an attempt to directly count people, as is done in a census. This set of estimates was produced by the U.S. Bureau of the Census using a demographic technique called the Tax Return Method. Estimates are produced annually and when estimates for the next year are released, estimates for previous years are corrected and/or revised to reflect more up-to-date information that may be available.

